ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT ISSUES AND RESPONSES

ISSUE/QUESTION	RESPONSE
1. Would the Gaming Control Board (Board) consider having two different types of "kick-off" meetings, a preliminary and a submission meeting?	Yes, the Board will conduct as many meetings as necessary prior to the official submission kickoff meeting to ensure a complete understanding of the new solution, its functionality and any nuances.
2. Does the Board have report title or format requirements?	Report titles will remain somewhat flexible. The Board is interested in contents and basic, specified information rather than report titles. Reports must include the information required through the applicable Technical Standards or MICS and our standard report requirements.
3. Would the Board consider resolution in the midst of an approval cycle?	Yes, this will be handled on a case-by-case basis in conjunction with the manufacturer.
4. Will the Board provide clarification as to what process will be followed in new technology situations?	These types of issues may be best addressed on a case-by-case basis by the Board. Some determination will be provided at the preliminary kickoff meeting. Examples of "new technology" systems would be systems that have never been installed in Nevada before or systems that utilize new technology never before approved or used in Nevada.
5. Will the operator be able to request a voluntary field trial?	This issue will be addressed on a case-by-case basis.
6. Is the Board seeking two separate forms, one from manufacturer and one from the operator?	No, a single form will be submitted from either the manufacturer or operator within 30 days of change.
7. Are significant back end architecture and platform and database structure changes addressed?	Yes, they are addressed in the definition of new/modified systems.
8. It appears that every change to the system could be considered a modification and be subject to the submission and approval process. Is this an accurate interpretation?	No, many changes will be handled on a case-by-case analysis to determine if a full testing regime (or regiment?) is required. The Board would consider the following as modifications: • Enhancements to "core" areas related to MICS, statutes, regulations and technical standards; The Board would allow installation of non-core modifications to approved systems with prior notification to the Board. It will be the responsibility of the manufacturer to demonstrate to the Board the changes will not impact the "core" function.
9. What will the process be if "bug fixes" are found during the approval process?	Any "bug fixes" required to implement a compliant system found during testing will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Consideration will be given to the extent of the fix, how it impacts the functionality of the solution and the additional time necessary to implement the fix. These factors will be evaluated in conjunction with the manufacturer and a

	decision to go forward or not will be reached.
10. Will it be alright to securely disable features rather than removing them from product?	Yes, the Board will allow features to be securely disabled rather than removing them from the product, if the manufacturer can demonstrate that the disabled functionality does not impact the compliance to any Nevada regulatory schema.
11. Will the Board post a listing of approved systems on their website?	Yes, the Board will post a listing of approved systems, their versions and any ancillary modules that are approved.
12. Will the Board include products that have not yet been fully approved?	No, the Board will not post any news regarding solutions that have not been approved.
13. Could a manufacturer provide a copy of its system approval letter to an operator from the Board prior to installation?	Yes, the Board would encourage both operators and manufacturers to share/request any approval letters issued by the Board.
14. Would the Board consider a review panel that could be composed of representatives of all stakeholders of the board?	Yes, because many of these issues are complex and some interpretive, if a resolution between Board and manufacturer staffs cannot be reached, manufacturers can request a meeting with a Board member for further discussion.
15. Would the Board be supportive of periodic industry meetings to encourage communications and continue collaborative efforts?	Yes, the Board plans on having regularly scheduled semi-annual updates with manufacturers and operators on these topics. The Board and staff will also encourage as needed informal meetings and discussions regarding future plans.